# MINUTES CITY OF FALLON 55 West Williams Ave Fallon, Nevada March 7, 2023

The Honorable City Council met in a regular meeting on the above date in the Council Chambers, 55 West Williams Avenue, Fallon, Nevada.

#### Present:

Mayor Ken Tedford Councilwoman Kelly Frost Councilwoman Karla Kent Councilman Paul Harmon Chief of Staff Bob Erickson City Attorney Mike Mackedon Deputy City Attorney Trent deBraga Public Works Director Brian Byrd Deputy Public Works Ryan Swirczek Deputy Public Works Adrian Noriega Deputy Public Works Kevin Gulley City Clerk-Treasurer Sean Richardson Deputy City Clerk Elsie Lee Deputy City Clerk Michael O'Neill Captain Ron Wenger City Engineer Derek Zimney **Emergency Manager Steve Endacott** Director of Tourism Jane Moon

The meeting was called to order by Mayor Ken Tedford at 9:00 a.m.

Mayor Ken Tedford led the Pledge of Allegiance.

Mayor Ken Tedford inquired if the agenda had been posted in compliance with NRS requirements.

City Clerk-Treasurer Sean Richardson advised that the agenda was posted in compliance with the NRS requirements.

### **Public Comments**

Mayor Ken Tedford inquired if there were any public comments. He noted that comments are to be general in nature, not relative to any agenda items. No action may be taken on a matter raised under this item until the matter has been specifically included on an agenda as an item upon which action will be taken.

No comments were noted.

# Consideration and possible approval of Council meeting minutes for February 10, 2023.

Councilwoman Frost motioned to approve the Council meeting minutes, with no changes or corrections for February 10, 2023; seconded by Councilwoman Kent and approved with a 3-0 vote by the Council.

## **Approval of Warrants**

- A) Accounts Payable
- B) Payroll
- C) Customer Deposit

Councilman Harmon motioned to approve the accounts payable, payroll and customer deposit warrants; seconded by Councilwoman Kent and approved with a 3-0 vote by the Council.

Possible introduction of Bill NO. 792: An Ordinance amending the City of Fallon Municipal Code, Title Five, "Business Licenses and Regulations," to add Chapter 5.60 entitled "Mobile Food Vendors" which provides for the regulation and licensing of mobile food vendors within the City of Fallon; and other matters properly related thereto. (For possible action) (This agenda item is for possible introduction of the proposed ordinance only. If introduced, a public hearing on the proposed ordinance will be set in the next agenda item and no further action can or will be taken at this meeting. At the public hearing, which will be properly noticed and advertised, the City Council will take comment and then consider possible adoption of the ordinance as introduced or amended.)

Councilwoman Frost asked Mayor Tedford if we would be able to discuss some proposed changes.

Mayor Tedford agreed, inquiring from Deputy City Attorney Trent deBraga.

Deputy City Attorney Trent deBraga stated that we will introduce it and certainly discuss any changes that they would like to see and address proposed changes when it comes up for adoption at the next meeting, as that's when those changes would be incorporated.

Mayor Tedford stated that when you get a chance to read it, you can actually give your changes in the interim as you read through it. We are not going to have the hearing until we set a date with the agenda item. We will be able to make changes in the hearing and nothing is set in stone. You are also welcome to state your changes now.

Councilwoman Frost stated that on page one (1), section B, my concern is that I think it should be easier to get a food vendor license than a liquor license. I think that some of those are a little strict, in the sense that if you had a young person that made a stupid decision and committed a crime and then twenty (20) years later are trying to set their lives straight and want to start a business, we don't want to prevent them from being able to have that opportunity and do so. I like the way we do our liquor licenses with our committee that looks at those and makes the decision. That is my recommendation, and I don't know how my fellow Council members may feel about that.

Councilwoman Kent stated that she would have to look into that and read it again. Councilman Harmon agreed with the comment that it shouldn't be stricter than getting a liquor license.

Mayor Tedford also agreed. I don't mind section B so much but I will probably leave it for the hearing. My thoughts are that if you took that to the standard of just a business license, businesses have a fixed place, where PD is patrolling. These are mobile and they have the ability to move around a lot and don't quite have the scrutiny that a fixed business has, and I think they are held to a higher standard, more like a liquor license, than a normal business. Having a stricter protocol for a mobile license is more called for than someone who has a brick-and-mortar business and stays put. I know that we have looked at several communities that already have mobile business licenses that we drew these from and have a lot longer history than us, and why they have certain provisions. I would probably not want to dilute that very much.

Councilwoman Frost agreed that there should be stricter standards for somebody just coming in to get a business license because of the mobility of the food vendors. Again, I just want to reiterate, people do make mistakes, especially when they are younger, and I don't want to prevent somebody from doing that.

Mayor Tedford said that you can still get a liquor license when you made a stupid mistake when you were a young kid. These things aren't probably a stupid mistake from being a young kid. These go a little bit beyond that, certainly, for your discussion when we have the hearing.

Deputy City Attorney Trent deBraga stated that one option, if this is openly adopted down the road, since it is a privileged license, there is going to be a background check done on any applicant, which of course, that application will have the applicant list the offenses they have been convicted or arrested for. One option we could do, since that will be up for consideration, if this is ultimately approved anyhow, we can potentially take this section out, so it's not an automatic disqualifier and then obviously, any application that comes before the Council, that criminal history will be something that's on that application. It will be before the Council at some point if this is ultimately passed.

Mayor Tedford stated that even on the liquor licenses, I wouldn't give some of those liquor licenses. We have had this discussion. Going to your point, you win me over on some of those background checks that have come up, as some of those instances of their colorful parts of the liquor license, were from when they were very young or more than ten (10) or fifteen (15) years ago. You have all heard me in there, telling you, "Wow, I wouldn't give this guy a liquor license." And you say, "well, that was a long time ago." You have already looked at that, whether you had that provision or not, even in the liquor license. You have all backed me down on some of those. The chiefs have asked me how I could give this guy a liquor license, and I tell them, well, it was a long time ago. They already consider what this guy wouldn't do. They look at the age and I always agree with them, it was a long time ago. There is a difference between getting a business license and getting a liquor license. By nature, and no fault of the Clerk's office, but just by the nature of how it is set up, a business license does not have as thorough background check as the liquor license. Your task set forth by the Council, way before us, was to do a more thorough check on liquor licensing and that it is a privilege. I am never, for one, making the standard less to serve our public. We are supposed to serve the citizens, so we're supposed to have a higher standard and then you can always back off that standard when you are issuing that license, depending on the factors that you mentioned. You never make it stronger, if you don't have it in place which has led to some real excited

conversations at this podium before, way back when I was a Councilman and Bob was the Mayor. I don't know whether it is being too overprotective on my part but it is certainly one we can have discussion about, or not, when that hearing comes.

Councilman Harmon asked for clarification for understanding. If we do it, just as according to the ordinance, then we are not going to be reviewing it case by case. If they have this in their background, are they automatically going to be disqualified?

Mayor Tedford stated they are not always automatically disqualified. This is in the liquor license too and they are not automatically disqualified. The Chief has a lot of say on the conviction of the crime of theft, and it could have been 20 years ago. They often look at that and say, "Well, that was so long ago, it is not pertinent now." We have people that have liquor licenses that have two (2) DUI's. That certainly is a crime, under the way the ordinance is written, for liquor licenses and you approve them, but it says you can't do that. But, because it goes through that committee, and you allow them the ability to look at those, and when they have happened – jump in here Bob, you were a Mayor one time. You have done the same thing when I've sat there with Chief Dale Carr at the end when I was in your seat and argued from that end of the table, saying we can't do this. Dale said, "Well, that was a long time ago." We have allowed it and it has been fine. When you have an applicant that has had a very bad history, that wanted to work under a liquor license, we were able to deny it because we had a provision in there that said we could. I think that served our citizens well at that time. It is going to be your comfort; I am just telling you some background here. It's going to be how you all feel, if you decide when we have the hearing, that you want 'B' taken out, we will take 'B' out, it's okay. It prevents me from having these great discussions in my office with staff.

Deputy City Attorney Trent deBraga reiterated that the way that this is currently drafted, I think the Council is on the right track here. It would automatically disqualify them, the way it is drafted right now. I think it removes the discretion that we have with the liquor licenses, where you can take into consideration the time that has passed since an arrest or something, where this doesn't. This is an easy fix, but as it currently reads, if they have been convicted of one of these crimes, it would disqualify them as it is currently drafted. I think I have a feeling of where the Council would like to see these changes.

Mayor Tedford stated that they need to be made like the liquor license application. Deputy City Attorney Trent deBraga agreed.

Mayor Tedford said that it would be more forgiving.

Councilwoman Frost agreed and added to provide discretion. A drug offense, back in my day, when you were younger, was a felony if you had marijuana. And now, it is legal. I would look at a drug trafficker a whole lot differently, especially as a mobile food vendor.

Mayor Tedford stated that he just had a discussion with Ron about drug offenses.

Councilwoman Frost stated that when these offenses were committed, it was illegal, so I understand that.

Mayor Tedford commented that it does vary from state to state.

Councilwoman Frost agreed. I have another possible correction on page five (5) of the ordinance, section B, again. I think we need to allow provision for the schools. To allow them permission if they are having a special event, where they offer food vendor trucks on site. I think that will be a simple fix as well. The school would have to provide vendors with permission.

4

Mayor Tedford stated that we would have to be careful how we define schools. There are many of them. We talked about that, Trent, and Mike, had mentioned to March 7, 2023 Fallon City Council Meeting

acknowledge all schools that consider themselves a school. We must be careful how we use that language. Not that it is bad. Not that any school is bad. It may just be a general school in nature. That will be fine if they find a way to fit that in there.

Councilwoman Frost stated that that was all she had.

Councilman Harmon asked for clarification on the limit of hours being 8:00 pm to 8:00 am. It states they could, with permission from the City. Does that permission come from the Council or will somebody else give them permission to go longer than 8:00 pm?

Mayor Tedford stated that usually they must get permission either through the Clerk's office, the Chief of Staff, or PD. There is already a special event group that is in place, and it is usually set up by the Mayor. The policy is going to follow what the Special Event group says. They will then follow the things that have to happen, either under their liquor license or when they go out and do catering, or whatever it is that they might do. Things after hours, in our parks, or in areas that aren't usually done at block parties, and things of that nature. This group has someone from the office of the Clerk-Treasurer, the PD, the City Attorney, the Public Works Department, and the Chief of Staff. This is where this will probably end up if it goes outside of the times of 8:00 am and 8:00 pm, which is out of the normal operational hours of the City and this group will have jurisdiction over this.

Councilman Harmon asked about a scenario of a concert in the park that goes until 9:00 pm. Will those food vendors be filed under that Special Use Permit for that event, but will still require their mobile food license? The hours of operation will go with what that special event is and not just setting up on the side of the street, somewhere, correct?

Mayor Tedford agreed. I think that is where we would include that. You would then have every department of the City looking at it from their aspect. That is why that group was set up, originally. The park would be considered a different situation in this ordinance, in any City area. That group will be in the middle of it anyway, if it is at Laura Mills Park, Oats Park or any City property or City Street. Just so you know, we don't do ordinances very much because the philosophy of the City is to not limit what our citizens can do and to let them live their lives as they want to, without ordinances, until there seems to be an issue. We have all heard from our citizens that there are some problems with some food trucks. They are either setting up near other restaurants or they're playing music that's infringing on people's quiet lifestyle. They are even setting up on people's private property without even telling them. That's when we started looking at adding an ordinance. We didn't come up with this on our own, it was by complaint. We didn't have any jurisdiction because we didn't have an ordinance. That is when we asked the City Attorney's office to see what other jurisdictions, other than municipalities, are doing and have food truck ordinances. It is similar to the shopping cart situation where we didn't have an ordinance until we started receiving complaints. We checked around and a whole bunch of other cities had shopping cart ordinances. We are trying to fix a problem here and we rarely do ordinances, and we try to do them as least intrusive as we can. I had a citizen, nearly 10 years ago, come to me about a food truck and stated that he didn't think it was a level playing field. They don't have to have a building, they don't have to have maintenance, they don't pay taxes- he went off a whole list. Some things were true and some things weren't true. He was right and he said, "I'll bet you don't even have an ordinance" and I said, "well, we don't." That was my isolated complaint. This wasn't to the point that we had music playing and businesses next to them that had music inside their buildings, and they weren't bothering people. He was right, they were running out of a residential area and we told them that they couldn't do that anymore. We told them that

they needed a variance to do that. Now, it is a little different and that is why we are here today. It is not by anything we have come up with on our own but by citizens and people complaining. Even people who don't have a dog in the fight, don't think it is right that someone can set up near another business. We are doing the best we can so we are open to changes in how you want to implement because that is what you do here, as the Council, you make the Legislation and we just enforce it.

Councilwoman Kent asked for clarification on the ordinance regarding being on City property only.

Mayor Tedford said that there were two parts – private property and City property.

Councilwoman Kent asked for more clarification about the person who has the current food truck, on the corner. Since he goes until 11:00 pm, Thursday through Sunday, would it be the property owner, or the City, that gives him the ability to stay open until 11:00pm?

Mayor Tedford said that he is going to have to abide by the ordinance here that says until 8:00 pm. It will be unlawful after 8:00 pm.

Councilwoman Kent asked for clarification that he would not be able to stay open later.

Mayor Tedford reiterated that the hearing is for how you, as the Council, would like it to be shaped. They have already heard my comments and they are trying to figure out what to do about all of this. Did somebody already introduce this?

Councilwoman Frost said that it hadn't been introduced yet. I would like to thank the City Attorney's office for their work on this because it is a difficult topic. There are a lot of what-ifs. With that being said, I would like to introduce Bill No. 792.

Setting of public hearing dated for Bill No. 792: An ordinance amending the City of Fallon Municipal Code, Title Five, "Business Licenses and Regulations," to add Chapter 5.60 entitled "Mobile Food Vendors" which provides for the regulation and licensing of mobile food vendors within the City of Fallon; and other matters properly related thereto. (For possible action.)

Mayor Tedford set the hearing for Bill No. 792: An ordinance amending the City of Fallon Municipal Code, Title Five, "Business Licenses and Regulations," to add Chapter 5.60 entitled "Mobile Food Vendors" which provides for the regulation and licensing of mobile food vendors within the City of Fallon; and other matters properly related thereto, for March 21, 2023, at 9:00 am.

Consideration and possible action to approve a construction contract with A&K Earthmovers of Fallon, Nevada, in order to complete the Oats Park Splash Pad and Pavilions Project, PWP-CH02023-186, in the amount of One Million Five Hundred Sixty Thousand Dollars (\$1,560,000). (For possible action)

Public Works Director Brian Byrd stated that, upon approval, the project would allow for the construction and installation of a 3,000 square foot splash pad located directly north of the outdoor pool. The splash pad will feature multiple areas where family friendly water play activities can occur. The versatility of splash pads with their multiple spray zones appeal to all age groups while providing an area that is free of standing water. In addition to the area designated specifically for splash pad activities, development will occur on approximately 15,000 square feet of area surrounding the splash pad. Wrought

iron fencing will be constructed around the newly developed area, providing security and access control to both the outdoor pool and splash pad. Three pavilions will be placed around the perimeter of the developed area, providing a covered space for individuals wishing to utilize the existing facilities at Oats Park as well as those using the newly constructed splash pad area. Construction elements of the project include - Civil improvements in support of the installation of a new splash pad, various demolition and removals, ADA concrete walkway improvement around the exterior of the splash pad, concrete stairs, and handrails, wrought iron fencing and gates, CMU block walls, CMU block equipment room, synthetic turf, concrete foundations, furnishing and installation of specified pavilions, storm drain improvements, electrical and lighting components, water service components, and site control. The project was released for public bid on February 2, 2023, and was advertised in the Fallon Post in accordance with NRS 338.1385. A prebid meeting was held on February 10, 2023. One (1) bid was received and opened on February 23, 2023, as further described in the attached documents. Again, thanks for the opportunity to present this very exciting project that we've been pushing for a long time and have finally been able to put some numbers to it.

Mayor Tedford asked if there were any questions from the Council.

Councilman Harmon asked the difference between the engineers estimate and the submitted bid cost, and if it were due to the cost of materials going up.

Public Works Director Brian Byrd agreed. There there were a couple of different issues all throughout the construction field, as you are aware of. Capacity issues, usually typical on a project like this, if we weren't dealing with just the general capacity issues that contractors have to perform this work, I would expect four or five bidders to be attracted to this type of work. In the market right now, we are fortunate to get just one (1) because folks are so loaded up already, especially coming into the spring and summer months. Then, the material prices, lead times, and the escalation of those have really been excessive over the last two years. The engineer's estimate was \$1.1 million dollars and again, we're here at \$1,560,000 with lead times, contractor capacity, and material costs.

Councilman Harmon asked how much in advance the engineer's estimate was done prior to the actual bid going out.

Public Works Director Brian Byrd stated that Lumos and Associates modified their engineer's estimate throughout the project. When they started designing and developing this project, the engineers estimate was a little under a million dollars and up until, I would venture to guess, that engineer's estimate was about a month ago, using real-time unit cost data from previous projects.

Councilman Harmon stated that was what he wanted confirmation on. This was an updated engineer's estimate, not something based on six or eight months ago, based on the cost of materials and everything going up. With the fluctuation of the market, it is key that they are kept up to date as much as possible.

Public Works Director Brian Byrd agreed. That is why I think that the third portion I mentioned about contractor capacity, is probably one of the more driving factors this time around.

Councilman Harmon stated that there is no competition.

Mayor Tedford stated that Councilman Harmon hit it on the head and it is a problem. It is a problem with a lot of things and a real problem overall. We are forecasting streets that are twice as much and it is a real problem.

Public Works Director Brian Byrd stated that we talk about if often that there isn't the indication that it is going to get any better as there continues to be an increase in March 7, 2023 Fallon City Council Meeting

Federal and State Grants in this construction market. Over the next couple of years, there is going to be a serious bottleneck in how to spend those dollars and how to spend them efficiently. Although we only did receive one (1) bid on this project, I do believe that we are fortunate at this point too and can confidently recommend that it is a competitive bid-it is more than expected. There is no telling what the next two or three years would look like if we were to table this for another time.

Mayor Tedford explained that we thought about taking some things out of this project and then with what Councilman Harmon said, we thought it would just be higher. Not just a normal higher, but exponentially higher, so we just left them in. We might as well pay for them now and save money in the future on what they would be increased to and get the whole project done. It is a real problem out there now. For example, we saved enough money to do that whole Front Street project, that we are very proud of. It included Humboldt, Ferguson and all of Douglas Street and Esmeralda, and that was about \$4 million. Then we went out and got the estimate to do, just recently, Auction Road and Kaiser Street and that was about \$8 million. We thought it would be about the same as the \$4 million, but it wasn't. These were very straightforward projects, not nearly as difficult to engineer as the Front Street project and it just makes me very sad.

Councilwoman Frost asked if there was an estimated start time and completion time on the project.

Public Works Director Brian Byrd stated that we are trying to expedite this project if the Council approves it today. We will issue a notice of award within ten (10) business days and a notice to proceed within ten (10) or fifteen (15) of those of that date. We have a condensed ninety (90) day construction schedule for the entire project. All bidders were aware that there is an expectation that this project gets completed by Memorial Day of this year. That is our plan and our lead time on materials could potentially pose a problem, as it certainly has on other projects. Material lead times that hadn't previously been identified as issues, constantly come up. It is our hope to push for the opening to coincide with the annual opening of the Outdoor Pool and it would be ideal to do both at the same time. If something occurs that is out of our control and that doesn't happen, there will be no interruption in the pool being able to open on time. The two areas are isolated and separate from one another and will not pose a threat or a security issue in the pool opening.

Councilwoman Frost stated that you answered my second question, thank you. Mayor Tedford asked if the pool will be open on Memorial Day weekend. Public Works Director Brian Byrd responded yes.

Councilman Harmon asked if anything was going to be written into the contract if the construction company awarded the bid can't meet the ninety (90) day working period. Are there any penalties built into that? Especially if it has nothing to do with lead time and just their inability or time to be able to do that.

Public Works Director Brian Byrd stated every construction or public work contract that we have, we have some sort of liquidated damage placed into that contract. If they can't meet their obligations, as you know, it has been difficult to justify but when you have that opening of a facility to the public that pay to use the facility, it becomes a little bit easier to justify those costs on the liquidated damages. So, yes, if they are unable to meet the ninety (90) days, they will be subject to those, and we will have the ability to enforce if we see fit.

Mayor Tedford stated that we have been okay so far. We had a little bit of a problem in December, but we had some weather issues in March and some in January, but March 7, 2023 Fallon City Council Meeting 8

we got through with these three (3) projects that we are doing now.

Public Works Director Brian Byrd stated that that is the perfect example of contract extensions that the contractor would not be held accountable for. Anytime we adjust a contract that the Council has previously approved at the staff level, we review their justification and see if it is allowable. As the Mayor said, the weather delays and the freeze and the flooding and those areas that are being constructed, those were justified.

Mayor Tedford explained that we are aware that you have a lot of discussion about what you could be doing, even with certain weather conditions.

No further comments were noted.

Councilman Harmon motioned to approve the construction contract with A&K Earthmovers of Fallon, Nevada, in order to complete the Oats Park Splash Pad and Pavilions Project, PWP-CH-2023-186, in the amount of One Million Five Hundred Sixty Thousand Dollars (\$1,560,000); seconded by Councilwoman Frost and approved with a 3-0 vote by the Council.

Consideration and possible approval of a Professional Services Contract with Lumos and Associates, Inc. for construction administration support services relating to the Oats Park Splash Pad and Pavilion project in the amount of Forty-Nine Thousand Seven Hundred Dollars (\$49,700). (For possible action)

Public Works Director Brian Bird stated that the approval of a contract with Lumos & Associates would allow for engineering and construction services associated with the expansion of a one-acre portion of Oats Park, north of the existing Outdoor Pool in order to provide the public with a splash pad experience for water-play activities. The construction services scope of work for this project includes construction management, inspection, and quality assurance testing to support civil improvements in conjunction with the installation of a splash pad and three pavilions. City staff recommends approval of a Professional Services Contract with Lumos and Associates, Inc. Typically, we will engage an engineer or architect for the design and bid services for a particular project prior so that we can bring the Council a recommendation of award. If we include the construction management services in the original proposal to an engineer or architect, it can over commit them before we have Council approval. We will send Lumos & Associates type out to design and help us with the bid assistance on projects like this and then, if the Council wishes to proceed, then we will bring it back to the Council and get approval to let them assist us with the construction management and special inspections, testing and things that are required for that project.

Councilwoman Frost asked if Lumos & Associates has experience in overseeing splash pad construction.

Public Works Director said he was not certain of splash pads, specifically, but they do a lot of playground work and a lot of the civil type of improvement work which is a majority of this project. The splash pad company, Splash Pads USA will come out and install the splash pad, so that won't be on A&K. The splash pad company will come, Lumos & Associates has a lot of experience ensuring subsurface and underground water lines and drainage systems are installed in accordance with orange book/Public Works standards. I am very confident that they will be able to assist us and Splash Pads USA has a great reputation in the field of both playgrounds and splash pads and so we are very confident with our selection using those folks.

Mayor Tedford stated that the key to that project is hooking that into the pool.

March 7, 2023 Fallon City Council Meeting

Councilwoman Kent motioned to approve the Professional Services Contract with Lumos and Associates, Inc. for construction administration support services relating to the Oats Park Splash Pad and Pavilion project in the amount of Forty-Nine Thousand Seven Hundred Dollars (\$49,700); seconded by Councilman Harmon and approved with a 3-0 vote by the Council.

### **Public Comments**

Mayor Tedford inquired if there were any public comments. No comments were noted.

## **Council and Staff Reports**

Mayor Tedford inquired if there were any Council or staff reports. No further reports were noted.

Mayor Tedford informed the Council that he was trying to do the best and keep you up with the flooding situation. Jim Barbee stood up at the Operations Center last Thursday as TCID had a flood brief from BOR about the weather. Paul was there from NDOT, which is nice, and me, Brian, and Steve Endacott were there from the City. We are just trying to get ahead of the situation of all the snow and water on the hill and what water is in Lahontan now. TCID has started with precautionary drawdowns through the BOR, The County did a declaration of emergency and I did one yesterday, which I gave you all a copy of, which mirrored what we did in 2017 to begin the process. They have started sandbagging operations out near the vaccine center, on the property that the County owns. These will be for anybody that wants them that lives along the river. They have 800cfs going down the river, which is a pretty good clip, but certainly not enough to flood anybody. They are putting water through the weir and they're cleaning the Big Dig that went around the City and the County. I think that we are way ahead of the game here. As most of you know, there is quite a snowpack on that hill, and we tend to worry about a lot of rain up there or warm weather and then it comes a lot faster. I think with the release of water and getting ahead of it is a good thing. We just take every day, one day at a time, like we did in 2017. My first flood was 1997. Mike and I, BJ, and Jim Regan were involved in that one. I think everything will work out very well. There are a lot of people cooperating and that's the main thing to have. The first phone call was very cooperative and the past 20+ years has not always been cooperative so that was good. I will keep you posted the best we can. I will talk to Abbi and Ty and we will try to do the same thing we did on our website. The County has Anne McMillan now, which is good, as a PIO and last time there was not one, so Abbi PR did all the PR. I think that there is good communication last time, to the public. I think we will try to put a banner on the top of our website, like last time. I can't remember what it said exactly, but it had the quantity in Lahontan and had the cfs running out of Lahontan. There is more social media now that they will be able to utilize for press releases. The best thing is to try and communicate with people and communicate the same information so that we can stay on the same page. As soon as they get the Big Dig dug out, I think we will be just fine. The water will go under Paul's highway, under highway 95 and under 50 and that will be a good thing. There are so many things that are ahead of the game this time from where we were in 2017. Deb found my file, bless her heart, for 2017 and it was full of some great information. I think that it will all work very well. We will set up a mechanism to keep you all informed. If you hear of something, feel free to call me. I am very comfortable with where we are and where we are going to be. I couldn't

have said that in 2017.

## **Executive Session**

Mayor Tedford tabled the executive session, as it was not needed at this time.

## Adjournment

There being no further business to come before the Council, Mayor Tedford adjourned the meeting at 9:57 a.m.

Mayor Ken Tedford

Attest:

Sean C. Richardson, City Clerk-Treasurer